IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

CORBEY JONES, et a	al. PLAINTIFFS
V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22cv93-KS-MTP
JONES COUNTY, MS	S, et al. DEFENDANTS
	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER
can be modified only b	all deadlines, has been established with the participation of all parties and by order of the court on a showing of good cause supported with affidavits, rials, or reference to portions of the record.
IT IS HEREBY ORD	DERED:
1. ESTIMATED	DAYS OF TRIAL: $3-5$
ESTIMATED	TOTAL NUMBER OF WITNESSES: 10-15
EXPERT TES	STIMONY EXPECTED: Yes NO. OF EXPERTS 5-6
2. ALTERNATI	VE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [ADR].
X	A. Alternative dispute resolution techniques appear helpful and will be used in this civil action as follows:
	A settlement conference is set for October 29, 2024 at 9:30 a.m. before the undersigned at the U.S. Courthouse, Courtroom 2, 701 N. Main Street, Hattiesburg, Mississippi. Counsel, the parties, and representatives with full settlement authority must be present. Confidential settlement memoranda are due on or before October 22, 2024.
	B. At the time this Case Management Order is offered it does not appear that alternative dispute resolution techniques will be used in this civil action.

3. CONSENT TO TRIAL BY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

A. The parties consent to trial by a United States Magistrate Judge.

		<u>X</u>	В.	The pa	arties do not consent to trial by a United States Magistrate			
4.	DISCLO	SURE	•					
			A.	-	re-discovery disclosure requirements of L.U.Civ.R.26(a)(1) seen complied with fully.			
		X	В.	ordered who w have ex	d: With the exception of Defendant Mekedes Coleman, vas added to this case after the initial deadlines, the parties exchanged initial disclosures. Defendant Coleman shall be her initial disclosures on or before May 19, 2024.			
5. MOTIONS; ISSUE BIFURCATION.								
		<u>X</u> A	A.	might	ourt finds and orders that filing of the following motion(s) significantly affect the scope of discovery or otherwise ite the resolution of this action.			
			imi are by dis By	munity relieve Local F covery so doir	dants reserve the right to file a qualified immunity or other motions. For purposes of judicial economy, the defendants ed of any obligation to file an early immunity motion as stated Rule 16(b). Furthermore, the parties may engage in as to all issues, including but not limited to, immunity issues. ng, defendants do not waive any right to file an immunity he parties agree and the court so orders.			
	<u> X</u>	<u> </u>	В.		ourt finds and orders that staged resolution, or bifurcation of sues for trial in accordance with Fed.R. Civ. P. 42(b),			
				X	(1) May assist in the prompt resolution of this action.			
					If punitive damages issue survives, it may be bifurcated at trial.			
				<u> </u>	(2) Will not assist in the prompt resolution of this action.			
6.					ONS AND LIMITATIONS. The following discovery to the discovery previously conducted.			
A. Interrogatories are limited to <u>25</u> succinct questions.								
	В.	Reque	Requests for Production are limited to <u>30</u> succinct questions.					

- C. Requests for Admissions are limited to <u>25</u> succinct questions.
- **D.** Depositions are limited to the parties, experts, and no more than <u>12</u> fact witnesses per party without additional approval of the court.
- **E.** The parties have complied with the requirements of Local Rule 26(f)(2)(B) regarding discovery of electronically stored information.

At this time, the parties do not anticipate there being substantial ESI discovery in this litigation. To identify and preserve any potential ESI, counsel have alerted their clients to ensure proper protections in place to preserve potentially relevant documents and to notify those persons in relevant positions to refrain from destroying possibly relevant ESI, and to ensure no inadvertent destruction of ESI occurs. The parties reserve the right to request to perform forensic searches on computer hard drives. However, the parties are not currently aware of any deleted relevant ESI. The parties do not anticipate requesting ESI with embedded data and/or metadata. The parties have agreed to produce discoverable ESI in PDF format. However, the parties reserve the right to request any ESI be produced in native format. The parties are not aware of any discoverable ESI that is not reasonably accessible. Privilege is not waived by inadvertent disclosure in ESI.

F. The court imposes the following discovery provisions or limitations:

Pursuant to Fed.R.Evid. 502(d), the attorney-client privilege and the work-product protections are not waived by any disclosure connected within this litigation pending before this Court. Further, the disclosures are not waived in any other federal or state proceeding.

Plaintiff must execute an appropriate, HIPAA-compliant medical authorization.

The court desires to avoid the necessity of filing written discovery motions where court participation in an informal discussion of the issue might resolve it, even after the parties have been unsuccessful in a good faith attempt to do so. Consequently, before a party may serve any discovery motion, counsel must first confer in good faith as required by F.R.Civ.P. 37(a)(1). If the attorney conference does not resolve the dispute, counsel must contact the chambers of the magistrate judge to request a telephonic conference to discuss the issue as contemplated by F.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v). Only if the telephonic conference with the judge is unsuccessful in resolving the issue may a party file a discovery motion.

Plaintiffs' discovery requests will be propounded against several defendants. In the event any party expresses a need to propound additional discovery, the party shall submit the proposed requests to counsel for the defendant and,

pursuant to the Court's order, the parties shall confer in good faith regarding how to respond to the requests. If the parties reach an agreement on additional discovery, they will submit a proposed agreed order to the Court indicating same.

7. Scheduling Deadlines.

A. Trial. This matter is set for trial during a two week term beginning May 5, 2025 before Senior District Judge Keith Starrett in Hattiesburg, Mississippi. ANY CONFLICTS WITH THIS TRIAL DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO THE TRIAL JUDGE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER.

This is a	X	_ Jury Trial	Non-Jury 7	ſrial
-----------	---	--------------	------------	-------

- **B. Pretrial.** The pretrial conference is set on: April 24, 2025 before Senior District Judge Keith Starrett in Hattiesburg, Mississippi.
- C. Discovery. All discovery shall be completed by: December 2, 2024.
- **D.** Amendments. Motions for joinders of the parties or amendments to the pleadings must be filed by: expired.
- **E. Experts.** The parties' experts, if any, shall be designated by the following dates:
 - 1. Plaintiff: September 2, 2024
 - 2. Defendant: October 1, 2024
- **F. Motions.** All dispositive motions and *Daubert*-type motions challenging another party's expert must be filed by: December 16, 2024. The deadline for motions *in limine* is twenty-one days before the pretrial conference; the deadline for responses is fourteen days before the pretrial conference.
- **G.** Settlement Conference. See paragraph 2 above.
- **H. Report Regarding ADR.** On or before April 27, 2025 (7 days before the final pretrial conference), the parties must report to the undersigned all ADR efforts they have

taken to comply with the Local Rules or provide sufficient facts to support a finding of just cause for failure to comply. *See* L.U.Civ.R. 83.7(f)(3).

SO ORDERED this the 14th day of May, 2024.

s/Michael T. Parker UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE